

No. ID/FD/102-83/43637.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an Industrial Dispute exist between the workwoman Smt. Sawatri and management of M/s Muni Lal Sharma and Bricks Kilan owner, Allahpur, Tehsil Palwal, District Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing ;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable refer the dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 the Governor of Haryana is hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana Faridabad constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matter specified below, being either matter to dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication;

Whether the termination of service of Smt. Sawatri was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is she entitled?

No. ID/FD/102-83/43644.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an Industrial Dispute exist between the workman Shri Satbir and the management of M/s Muni Lal Sharma and Brothers Brick Kilan owner, Allahpur, Tehsil Palwal District Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad constituted under section 7-A of the said Act the matter specified below, being either matter to dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication;

Whether the termination of service of Shri Satbir was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled

No. ID/FD/102/43651.— Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an Industrial dispute exist between the workman Shri Mohan and the management of M/s Muni Lal Sharma and Brothers Brick Kilan owner, Allah Pur, Tehsil Palwal District, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial disputes Act, 1947 the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal Haryana, Faridabad constituted under section 7-A of the said Act the matter specified below, being either matter to dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication;

Whether the termination of service of Shri Mohan was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/102-83/43658.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an Industrial Disputes exist between the workman Shri Nune and the management of M/s Muni Lal Sharma and Brothers Brick Kilan owner, Allahpur, Tehsil Palwal, District Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing,

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to the refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) sub section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad consititued under section 7-A of the said Act the matter specified below being either matter to dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the warkman for adjudication;

Whether the termination of service of Shri Nune was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/102-83/43665.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an Industrial Dispute exist between the workman Shri Chatar and the management of M/s Muni Lal Sharma and Brothers Brick Kilan owner, Allah Pur, Tehsil Palwal, District Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of powers conferred by clause (d) sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad constituted under section 7-A of the said Act the matter specified below, being either matter to dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication;

Whether the termination of service of Shri Chatar was justified and in order? if not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/102-83/43672.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exist between the workman Shri Changa and the Management of M/s Muni Lal Sharma & Brothers, Brick Kilan owner, Allahpur, Tehsil Palwal, district Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad constituted under section 7-A of the said Act the matter specified below being either matter to dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Changa was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/102-83/43679.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exist between the workman Shri Payare and the management of M/s Muni Lal Sharma & Brothers, Brick Kilan owner, Allahpur, Tehsil Palwal, district Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matters specified below, being either matter to dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Payare was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/102-83/43686.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exist between the workman Shri Ram Chand and the management of M/s Muni Lal Sharma and Brothers Brick Kilan owner, Allah Pur, Tehsil Palwal, District, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana, hereby refers to Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matters specified below, being either matter to dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Ram Chand was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

The 29th August, 1983

No. ID/FD/1/122-83/44479.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exist between the workman Shri Shiv Lal and the management of M/s Metal Cast, Plot No. 278 Sector-24, Faridabad (Haryana), regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to the dispute for adjudication.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Dispute Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter of dispute or matters relevant to connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication :—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Shiv Lal was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/RTK/68-83/44486.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exist between the workwoman Smt. Raj Bala Devi and the management of M/s Rawal Industries Pvt. Ltd. Jhajjar Road, Bahadurgarh regarding the matter hereinafter appearing ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Rohtak, constituted under section 7 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947,—vide Government notification No. 3864-ASO-(E) Lab-70/13648, dated 8th May, 1970 read with Government notification No. 9641-I-Lab-70/32573, dated 6th November, 1970 the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication :—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Raj Bala Devi, was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is she entitled?

No. ID/FBD/87-83/44500.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Murari Lal and the management of M/s Usha Spinning and Weaving Mills Ltd., 12/1 Mathuraroad, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing ;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad, constituted,—vide Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958, read with notification No. 5414-3 Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968, under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below, being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Murari Lal was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/YMN/76-83/44507.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Tarlochan Singh and the management of M/s The Executive Engineer Operation Division H. S. E. B. Radaur Road, Yamuna Nagar regarding the matter hereinafter appearing ;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad, constituted,—vide Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3 Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Tarlochan Singh was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/88-83/44520.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Mohan Lal and the management of M/s M. Enterprises Karkhana-Bagh 16/5, Mathura Road, Faridabad regarding the matter hereinafter appearing ;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad, constituted,—vide Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958, read with notification No. 5414-3 Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matters specified below being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Mohan Lal was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?